

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/696,900 | WILSON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Brian Whiteman | 1635 | |

All Participants:

(1) Brian Whiteman.

(2) Cathy Kodroff.

Status of Application: After non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2006

Time: 2:00 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

obvious double patenting

Claims discussed:

9,10,17-22

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,759,237

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amending claim 11 to overcome a potential 112 first paragraph rejection and claim objection. Discussed amending claims 18-20 to overcome potential 112 first paragraph rejection. Discussed renumbering claims 9 and 10. Applicant will file a terminal disclaimer to overcome the obviousness rejection over 6,759,237.